# Software Requirements Specification for GamePhysics

Alex Halliwushka, Luthfi Mawarid, and Olu Owojaiye

November 11, 2024

## **Contents**





## <span id="page-2-0"></span>**1 Reference Material**

This section records information for easy reference.

#### <span id="page-2-1"></span>**1.1 Table of Units**

The unit system used throughout is SI (Système International d'Unités). In addition to the basic units, several derived units are also used. For each unit, the [Table of Units](#page-2-3) lists the symbol, a description, and the SI name.

<span id="page-2-3"></span>

	TWOTO OF OTHER	
	Symbol Description SI Name	
J.	energy	joule
kg	mass	kilogram
m	length	metre
N	force	newton
rad	angle	radian
S	time	second

Table 1: Table of Units

### <span id="page-2-2"></span>**1.2 Table of Symbols**

The symbols used in this document are summarized in the [Table of Symbols](#page-2-4) along with their units. Throughout the document, symbols in bold will represent vectors, and scalars otherwise. The symbols are listed in alphabetical order. For vector quantities, the units shown are for each component of the vector.

<span id="page-2-4"></span>

Symbol	Description	Units
a(t)	Linear acceleration	$\frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}^2}$
$\mathbf{a}(t)$	Acceleration	$\frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}^2}$
$\mathbf{a}(t)$ <sub>i</sub>	J-Th Body's Acceleration	$\frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}^2}$
$C_{\rm R}$	Coefficient of restitution	
$d_i$	Distance Between the J-Th Particle and the Axis of Rotation	m
d	Distance between the center of mass of the rigid bodies	m
$\hat{d}$	Unit vector directed from the center of the large mass to the center of the smaller mass	m

Table 2: Table of Symbols

Continued on next page





Continued on next page





Continued on next page

	Symbol Description	Units
$\tau_i$	Torque applied to the j-th body	Nm
$\omega$	Angular velocity	$\frac{\text{rad}}{\text{s}}$
	Orientation	rad

Table 2: Table of Symbols (Continued)

### <span id="page-5-0"></span>**1.3 Abbreviations and Acronyms**

${\bf Abbreviation}$	<b>Full Form</b>
2D	Two-Dimensional
3D	Three-Dimensional
A	Assumption
<b>CM</b>	Centre of Mass
DD	Data Definition
GD	General Definition
GS	Goal Statement
ΙM	Instance Model
LC	Likely Change
ODE	Ordinary Differential Equation
R.	Requirement
RefBy	Referenced by
Refname	Reference Name
<b>SRS</b>	Software Requirements Specification
TM	Theoretical Model
UC	Unlikely Change
Uncert.	<b>Typical Uncertainty</b>

Table 3: Abbreviations and Acronyms

## <span id="page-5-1"></span>**2 Introduction**

Due to the rising cost of developing video games, developers are looking for ways to save time and money for their projects. Using an open source physics library that is reliable and free will cut down development costs and lead to better quality products. The document describes the program based on the original, manually created version of [GamePhysics.](https://github.com/smiths/caseStudies/blob/master/CaseStudies/gamephys)

The following section provides an overview of the Software Requirements Specification (SRS) for GamePhysics. This section explains the purpose of this document, the scope of the requirements, the characteristics of the intended reader, and the organization of the document.

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>**2.1 Purpose of Document**

The primary purpose of this document is to record the requirements of GamePhysics. Goals, assumptions, theoretical models, definitions, and other model derivation information are specified, allowing the reader to fully understand and verify the purpose and scientific basis of GamePhysics. With the exception of [system constraints,](#page-8-0) this SRS will remain abstract, describing what problem is being solved, but not how to solve it.

This document will be used as a starting point for subsequent development phases, including writing the design specification and the software verification and validation plan. The design document will show how the requirements are to be realized, including decisions on the numerical algorithms and programming environment. The verification and validation plan will show the steps that will be used to increase confidence in the software documentation and the implementation. Although the SRS fits in a series of documents that follow the so-called waterfall model, the actual development process is not constrained in any way. Even when the waterfall model is not followed, as Parnas and Clements point out [\[8\]](#page-46-0), the most logical way to present the documentation is still to "fake" a rational design process.

#### <span id="page-6-1"></span>**2.2 Scope of Requirements**

The scope of the requirements includes the physical simulation of 2D rigid bodies acted on by forces.

#### <span id="page-6-2"></span>**2.3 Characteristics of Intended Reader**

Reviewers of this documentation should have an understanding of rigid body dynamics and high school calculus. The users of GamePhysics can have a lower level of expertise, as explained in [Sec:User Characteristics.](#page-7-2)

#### <span id="page-6-3"></span>**2.4 Organization of Document**

The organization of this document follows the template for an SRS for scientific computing software proposed by  $[6]$ ,  $[11]$ ,  $[12]$ , and  $[10]$ . The presentation follows the standard pattern of presenting goals, theories, definitions, and assumptions. For readers that would like a more bottom up approach, they can start reading the [instance models](#page-31-0) and trace back to find any additional information they require.

The [goal statements](#page-9-0) are refined to the theoretical models and the [theoretical models](#page-10-0) to the [instance models.](#page-31-0)

## <span id="page-7-0"></span>**3 General System Description**

This section provides general information about the system. It identifies the interfaces between the system and its environment, describes the user characteristics, and lists the system constraints.

### <span id="page-7-1"></span>**3.1 System Context**

[Fig:sysCtxDiag](#page-7-3) shows the system context. A circle represents an entity external to the software, the user in this case. A rectangle represents the software system itself (GamePhysics). Arrows are used to show the data flow between the system and its environment.

<span id="page-7-3"></span>

Figure 1: System Context

The interaction between the product and the user is through an application programming interface. The responsibilities of the user and the system are as follows:

- User Responsibilities
	- **–** Provide initial conditions of the physical state of the simulation, rigid bodies present, and forces applied to them.
	- **–** Ensure application programming interface use complies with the user guide.
	- **–** Ensure required [software assumptions](#page-9-2) are appropriate for any particular problem the software addresses.
- GamePhysics Responsibilities
	- **–** Determine if the inputs and simulation state satisfy the required [physical and](#page-37-0) [system constraints.](#page-37-0)
	- **–** Calculate the new state of all rigid bodies within the simulation at each simulation step.
	- **–** Provide updated physical state of all rigid bodies at the end of a simulation step.

### <span id="page-7-2"></span>**3.2 User Characteristics**

The end user of GamePhysics should have an understanding of first year programming concepts and an understanding of high school physics.

### <span id="page-8-0"></span>**3.3 System Constraints**

There are no system constraints.

## <span id="page-8-1"></span>**4 Specific System Description**

This section first presents the problem description, which gives a high-level view of the problem to be solved. This is followed by the solution characteristics specification, which presents the assumptions, theories, and definitions that are used.

### <span id="page-8-2"></span>**4.1 Problem Description**

A system is needed to simulate 2D rigid body physics for use in game development in a simple, lightweight, fast, and portable manner, which will allow for the production of higher quality products. Creating a gaming physics library is a difficult task. Games need physics libraries that simulate objects acting under various physical conditions, while simultaneously being fast and efficient enough to work in soft real-time during the game. Developing a physics library from scratch takes a long period of time and is very costly, presenting barriers of entry which make it difficult for game developers to include physics in their products. There are a few free, open source and high quality [physics libraries](#page-40-1) available to be used for consumer products.

### <span id="page-8-3"></span>**4.1.1 Terminology and Definitions**

This subsection provides a list of terms that are used in the subsequent sections and their meaning, with the purpose of reducing ambiguity and making it easier to correctly understand the requirements.

- Rigid body: A solid body in which deformation is neglected.
- Elasticity: The ratio of the relative velocities of two colliding objects after and before a collision.
- Centre of mass: The mean location of the distribution of mass of the object.
- Cartesian coordinate system: A coordinate system that specifies each point uniquely in a plane by a set of numerical coordinates, which are the signed distances to the point from two fixed perpendicular oriented lines, measured in the same unit of length  $(from [2]).$  $(from [2]).$  $(from [2]).$
- Right-handed coordinate system: A coordinate system where the positive z-axis comes out of the screen..
- line: An interval between two points (from [\[5\]](#page-46-5)).
- point: An exact location, it has no size, only position (from [\[9\]](#page-46-6)).
- damping: An influence within or upon an oscillatory system that has the effect of reducing, restricting or preventing its oscillations (from [\[4\]](#page-46-7)).

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>**4.1.2 Goal Statements**

Given the kinematic properties, and forces (including any collision forces) applied on a set of rigid bodies, the goal statements are:

- <span id="page-9-7"></span>Determine-Linear-Properties: Determine their new positions and velocities over a period of time.
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>Determine-Angular-Properties: Determine their new orientations and angular velocities over a period of time.

### <span id="page-9-1"></span>**4.2 Solution Characteristics Specification**

The instance models that govern GamePhysics are presented in the [Instance Model Section.](#page-31-0) The information to understand the meaning of the instance models and their derivation is also presented, so that the instance models can be verified.

#### <span id="page-9-2"></span>**4.2.1 Assumptions**

This section simplifies the original problem and helps in developing the theoretical models by filling in the missing information for the physical system. The assumptions refine the scope by providing more detail.

- <span id="page-9-4"></span>objectTy: All objects are rigid bodies. (RefBy: [GD:impulse,](#page-16-1) [IM:rotMot,](#page-34-0) [IM:transMot,](#page-32-0) [DD:chaslesThm,](#page-24-0) [DD:reVeInColl,](#page-28-0) [DD:potEnergy,](#page-30-0) [DD:ctrOfMass,](#page-17-0) [DD:momentOfIner](#page-31-1)[tia,](#page-31-1) [DD:linVel,](#page-19-0) [DD:linDisp,](#page-18-0) [DD:linAcc,](#page-20-0) [DD:kEnergy,](#page-26-0) [DD:impulseV,](#page-29-0) [IM:col2D,](#page-36-0) [DD:angVel,](#page-22-0) [DD:angDisp,](#page-21-0) and [DD:angAccel.](#page-23-0))
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>objectDimension: All objects are 2D. (RefBy: [GD:impulse,](#page-16-1) [IM:rotMot,](#page-34-0) [IM:transMot,](#page-32-0) [DD:pot](#page-30-0)En[ergy,](#page-30-0) [TM:NewtonSecLawRotMot,](#page-13-1) [DD:kEnergy,](#page-26-0) [IM:col2D,](#page-36-0) [DD:angVel,](#page-22-0) [DD:angDisp,](#page-21-0) and [DD:angAccel.](#page-23-0))

<span id="page-9-9"></span>coordinateSystemTy: The library uses a Cartesian coordinate system.

- <span id="page-9-5"></span>axesDefined: The axes are defined using right-handed coordinate system. (RefBy: [GD:im](#page-16-1)[pulse,](#page-16-1) [IM:rotMot,](#page-34-0) and [IM:col2D.](#page-36-0))
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>collisionType: All rigid bodies collisions are vertex-to-edge collisions. (RefBy: [GD:impulse,](#page-16-1) [LC:Expanded-Collisions,](#page-39-2) and [IM:col2D.](#page-36-0))

<span id="page-10-1"></span>dampingInvolvement: There is no damping involved throughout the simulation and this implies that there are no friction forces. (RefBy: [IM:transMot,](#page-32-0) [DD:potEnergy,](#page-30-0) [LC:Include](#page-39-3)-[Dampening,](#page-39-3) [DD:kEnergy,](#page-26-0) and [IM:col2D.](#page-36-0))

<span id="page-10-3"></span>constraintsAndJointsInvolvement: There are no constraints and joints involved throughout the simulation. (RefBy: [IM:transMot,](#page-32-0) [LC:Include-Joints-Constraints,](#page-39-4) and [IM:col2D.](#page-36-0))

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>**4.2.2 Theoretical Models**

This section focuses on the general equations and laws that GamePhysics is based on.

<span id="page-10-2"></span>

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

<span id="page-13-1"></span>

### <span id="page-13-0"></span>**4.2.3 General Definitions**

This section collects the laws and equations that will be used to build the instance models.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

**Detailed derivation of gravitational acceleration:** From [Newton's law of universal](#page-12-0) [gravitation,](#page-12-0) we have:

$$
\mathbf{F} = \tfrac{G\,m_1\,m_2}{\|\mathbf{d}\|^2}\,\mathbf{\hat{d}}
$$

The above equation governs the gravitational attraction between two bodies. Suppose that one of the bodies is significantly more massive than the other, so that we concern ourselves with the force the massive body exerts on the lighter body. Further, suppose that the Cartesian coordinate system is chosen such that this force acts on a line which lies along one of the principal axes. Then our unit vector directed from the center of the large mass to the center of the smaller mass  $\tilde{d}$  for the x or y axes is:

$$
\hat{d} = \tfrac{d}{\|d\|}
$$

Given the above assumptions, let  $M$  and  $m$  be the mass of the massive and light body respectively. Equating  $\bf{F}$  above with Newton's second law for the force experienced by the light body, we get:

$$
\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{g}} = G \, \tfrac{M \, m}{\|\mathbf{d}\|^2} \, \hat{\mathbf{d}} = m \, \mathbf{g}
$$

where  $\bf{g}$  is the gravitational acceleration. Dividing the above equation by  $m$ , we have:

$$
G\,\tfrac{M}{\|\mathbf{d}\|^2}\,\hat{\mathbf{d}}=\mathbf{g}
$$

and thus the negative sign indicates that the force is an attractive force:

$$
\mathbf{g} = -G \, \tfrac{M}{\|\mathbf{d}\|^2} \, \mathbf{\hat{d}}
$$

<span id="page-16-1"></span>

### <span id="page-16-0"></span>**4.2.4 Data Definitions**

This section collects and defines all the data needed to build the instance models.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

<span id="page-19-0"></span>

<span id="page-20-0"></span>

<span id="page-21-0"></span>

<span id="page-22-0"></span>

<span id="page-23-0"></span>

<span id="page-24-0"></span>

<span id="page-25-0"></span>

<span id="page-26-0"></span>

<span id="page-27-0"></span>

<span id="page-28-0"></span>

<span id="page-29-0"></span>

**Detailed derivation of impulse (vector):** Newton's second law of motion states:

$$
\mathbf{F} = m \,\mathbf{a}(t) = m \, \frac{d\mathbf{v}(t)}{dt}
$$

Rearranging:

$$
\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbf{F} dt = m \left( \int_{\mathbf{v}(t)_1}^{\mathbf{v}(t)_2} 1 d\mathbf{v}(t) \right)
$$

Integrating the right hand side:

$$
\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbf{F} dt = m \mathbf{v}(t)_2 - m \mathbf{v}(t)_1 = m \Delta \mathbf{v}
$$

<span id="page-30-0"></span>

<span id="page-31-1"></span>

#### <span id="page-31-0"></span>**4.2.5 Instance Models**

This section transforms the problem defined in the [problem description](#page-8-2) into one which is expressed in mathematical terms. It uses concrete symbols defined in the [data definitions](#page-16-0) to replace the abstract symbols in the models identified in [theoretical models](#page-10-0) and [general](#page-13-0) [definitions.](#page-13-0)

The goal [GS:Determine-Linear-Properties](#page-9-7) is met by [IM:transMot](#page-32-0) and [IM:col2D.](#page-36-0) The goal [GS:Determine-Angular-Properties](#page-9-8) is met by [IM:rotMot](#page-34-0) and [IM:col2D.](#page-36-0)

<span id="page-32-0"></span>

**Detailed derivation of j-th body's acceleration:** We may calculate the total acceleration of rigid body  $j$  by calculating the derivative of it's velocity with respect to time (from [DD:linAcc\)](#page-20-0).

$$
\alpha_j = \tfrac{d \mathbf{v}(t)_j(t)}{dt}
$$

Performing the derivative, we obtain:

$$
\mathbf{a}(t)_{j} = \mathbf{g} + \tfrac{\mathbf{F}_{j}(t)}{m_{j}}
$$

<span id="page-34-0"></span>

RefBy

**Detailed derivation of j-th body's angular acceleration:** We may calculate the total angular acceleration of rigid body  $j$  by calculating the derivative of its angular velocity with respect to time (from [DD:angAccel\)](#page-23-0).

$$
\alpha_j = \tfrac{d\omega(t)}{dt}
$$

Performing the derivative, we obtain:

$$
\alpha_j = \tfrac{\boldsymbol{\tau}_j(t)}{\mathbf{I}}
$$

<span id="page-36-0"></span>

#### <span id="page-37-0"></span>**4.2.6 Data Constraints**

The [Data Constraints Table](#page-37-2) shows the data constraints on the input variables. The column for physical constraints gives the physical limitations on the range of values that can be taken by the variable. The uncertainty column provides an estimate of the confidence with which the physical quantities can be measured. This information would be part of the input if one were performing an uncertainty quantification exercise. The constraints are conservative to give the user of the model the flexibility to experiment with unusual situations. The column of typical values is intended to provide a feel for a common scenario.

<span id="page-37-2"></span>

Var		Physical Constraints Software Constraints Typical Value		Uncert.
$C_{\rm R}$	$0 \leq C_{\rm R} \leq 1$		0.8	10%
$\mathbf F$			98.1 N	10%
G			$66.743 \cdot 10^{-12} \frac{m^3}{kgs^2}$	$10\%$
$\bf{I}$	I > 0		$74.5 \text{ kg} \text{m}^2$	10%
L	L>0		44.2 m	10%
$\,m$	m > 0		$56.2 \text{ kg}$	10%
$\mathbf{p}(t)$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$		$0.412$ m	10%
$\mathbf{v}(t)$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$		$2.51 \frac{m}{s}$	10%
$\tau$			$200$ Nm	10%
$\omega$			2.1 $\frac{\text{rad}}{\text{s}}$	10%
$\phi$		$0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$	$\frac{\pi}{2}$ rad	10%

Table 4: Input Data Constraints

#### <span id="page-37-1"></span>**4.2.7 Properties of a Correct Solution**

The [Data Constraints Table](#page-38-2) shows the data constraints on the output variables. The column for physical constraints gives the physical limitations on the range of values that can be taken by the variable.

<span id="page-38-2"></span>

## <span id="page-38-0"></span>**5 Requirements**

This section provides the functional requirements, the tasks and behaviours that the software is expected to complete, and the non-functional requirements, the qualities that the software is expected to exhibit.

### <span id="page-38-1"></span>**5.1 Functional Requirements**

This section provides the functional requirements, the tasks and behaviours that the software is expected to complete.

- <span id="page-38-3"></span>Simulation-Space: Create a space for all of the rigid bodies in the physical simulation to interact in.
- <span id="page-38-4"></span>Input-Initial-Conditions: Input the initial masses, velocities, orientations, angular velocities of, and forces applied on rigid bodies.
- <span id="page-38-5"></span>Input-Surface-Properties: Input the surface properties of the bodies such as friction or elasticity.
- <span id="page-38-6"></span>Verify-Physical\_Constraints: Verify that the inputs satisfy the required physical constraints from the [solution characteristics specification.](#page-9-1)
- <span id="page-38-7"></span>Calculate-Translation-Over-Time: Determine the positions and velocities over a period of time of the 2D rigid bodies acted upon by a force.
- <span id="page-38-8"></span>Calculate-Rotation-Over-Time: Determine the orientations and angular velocities over a period of time of the 2D rigid bodies.

<span id="page-38-9"></span>Determine-Collisions: Determine if any of the rigid bodies in the space have collided.

<span id="page-39-5"></span>Determine-Collision-Response-Over-Time: Determine the positions and velocities over a period of time of the 2D rigid bodies that have undergone a collision.

#### <span id="page-39-0"></span>**5.2 Non-Functional Requirements**

This section provides the non-functional requirements, the qualities that the software is expected to exhibit.

- <span id="page-39-6"></span>Performance: The execution time for collision detection and collision resolution shall be comparable to an existing 2D physics library on the market (e.g. Pymunk).
- <span id="page-39-7"></span>Correctness: The output of simulation results shall be compared to an existing implementation like [Pymunk.](http://www.pymunk.org/en/latest/)
- <span id="page-39-8"></span>Usability: Software shall be easy to learn and use. Usability shall be measured by how long it takes a user to learn how to use the library to create a small program to simulate the movement of 2 bodies over time in space. Creating a program should take no less than 30 to 60 minutes for an intermediate to experienced programmer.
- <span id="page-39-9"></span>Understandability: Users of Tamias2D shall be able to learn the software with ease. Users shall be able to easily create a small program using the library. Creating a small program to simulate the movement of 2 bodies in space should take no less that 60 minutes.
- <span id="page-39-10"></span>Maintainability: If a likely change is made to the finished software, it will take at most 10% of the original development time, assuming the same development resources are available.

## <span id="page-39-1"></span>**6 Likely Changes**

This section lists the likely changes to be made to the software.

- <span id="page-39-11"></span>Variable-ODE-Solver: The internal ODE-solving algorithm used by the library may be changed in the future.
- <span id="page-39-2"></span>Expanded-Collisions: [A:collisionType](#page-9-6) - The library may be expanded to deal with edge-toedge and vertex-to-vertex collisions.
- <span id="page-39-3"></span>Include-Dampening: [A:dampingInvolvement](#page-10-1) - The library may be expanded to include motion with damping.
- <span id="page-39-4"></span>Include-Joints-Constraints: [A:constraintsAndJointsInvolvement](#page-10-3) - The library may be expanded to include joints and constraints.

## <span id="page-40-0"></span>**7 Unlikely Changes**

This section lists the unlikely changes to be made to the software.

<span id="page-40-3"></span>Simulate-Rigid-Bodies: The goal of the system is to simulate the interactions of rigid bodies.

<span id="page-40-4"></span>External-Input: There will always be a source of input data external to the software.

<span id="page-40-5"></span>Cartesian-Coordinate-System: A Cartesian Coordinate system is used.

<span id="page-40-6"></span>Objects-Rigid-Bodies: All objects are rigid bodies.

## <span id="page-40-1"></span>**8 Off-The-Shelf Solutions**

As mentioned in the [problem description,](#page-8-2) there already exist free open source game physics libraries. Similar 2D physics libraries are:

- [Box2D](http://box2d.org/)
- [Nape Physics Engine](http://napephys.com/)

Free open source 3D game physics libraries include:

- [Bullet](http://bulletphysics.org/)
- [Open Dynamics Engine](http://www.ode.org/)
- [Newton Game Dynamics](http://newtondynamics.com/)

### <span id="page-40-2"></span>**9 Traceability Matrices and Graphs**

The purpose of the traceability matrices is to provide easy references on what has to be additionally modified if a certain component is changed. Every time a component is changed, the items in the column of that component that are marked with an "X" should be modified as well. [Tab:TraceMatAvsA](#page-41-0) shows the dependencies of the assumptions on each other. [Tab:TraceMatAvsAll](#page-41-1) shows the dependencies of the data definitions, theoretical models, general definitions, instance models, requirements, likely changes, and unlikely changes on the assumptions. [Tab:TraceMatRefvsRef](#page-43-0) shows the dependencies of the data definitions, theoretical models, general definitions, and instance models on each other. [Tab:TraceMatAllvsR](#page-43-1) shows the dependencies of the requirements and goal statements on the data definitions, theoretical models, general definitions, and instance models.

<span id="page-41-0"></span>

Table 7: Traceability Matrix Showing  ${\bf t}$ 

<span id="page-41-1"></span>

		A:objectTy A:objectDimension A:coordinateSy	
DD:ctrOfMass	X		
DD:linDisp	$\mathbf X$		
DD:linVel	$\mathbf X$		
DD:linAcc	$\mathbf X$		
DD:angDisp	$\mathbf X$	X	
DD:angVel	$\mathbf X$	X	
DD:angAccel	X	$\mathbf X$	
DD:chaslesThm	$\mathbf X$		
DD:torque			
DD:kEnergy	$\mathbf X$	$\mathbf X$	
DD:coeffRestitution			
DD:reVeInColl	$\mathbf X$		
DD:impulseV	$\mathbf X$		
DD:potEnergy	$\mathbf X$	$\mathbf X$	
DD:momentOfInertia	$\mathbf X$		
TM:NewtonSecLawMot			
TM:NewtonThirdLawMot			
TM:UniversalGravLaw			
TM:NewtonSecLawRotMot		X	

		A:objectTy A:objectDimension A:coordinateSy	
GD:accelGravity			
GD:impulse	$\mathbf X$	$\mathbf X$	
<b>IM:transMot</b>	$\mathbf X$	$\mathbf X$	
IM:rotMot	X	$\mathbf X$	
IM:col2D	X	$\mathbf X$	
FR:Simulation-Space			
FR:Input-Initial-Conditions			
FR:Input-Surface-Properties			
FR:Verify-Physical_Constraints			
FR:Calculate-Translation-Over-Time			
FR:Calculate-Rotation-Over-Time			
FR:Determine-Collisions			
FR:Determine-Collision-Response-Over-Time			
NFR:Performance			
NFR:Correctness			
NFR:Usability			
NFR:Understandability			
NFR:Maintainability			
LC:Variable-ODE-Solver			
LC:Expanded-Collisions			
LC:Include-Dampening			
$LC: Include-Joints-Constraints \\$			
UC:Simulate-Rigid-Bodies			
UC:External-Input			
UC:Cartesian-Coordinate-System			
UC:Objects-Rigid-Bodies			

Table 7: Traceability Matrix Showing the Con $\,$ 

<span id="page-43-0"></span>

<span id="page-43-1"></span>

[DD:ctrOfMass](#page-17-0) [DD:linDisp](#page-18-0) [DD:linVel](#page-19-0) DD:linA

[FR:Simulation-Space](#page-38-3) [FR:Input-Initial-Conditions](#page-38-4) [FR:Input-Surface-Properties](#page-38-5) [FR:Verify-Physical\\_Constraints](#page-38-6) [FR:Calculate-Translation-Over-Time](#page-38-7) [FR:Calculate-Rotation-Over-Time](#page-38-8) [FR:Determine-Collisions](#page-38-9) [FR:Determine-Collision-Response-Over-Time](#page-39-5) [NFR:Performance](#page-39-6) [NFR:Correctness](#page-39-7) [NFR:Usability](#page-39-8) [NFR:Understandability](#page-39-9)

[NFR:Maintainability](#page-39-10)

The purpose of the traceability graphs is also to provide easy references on what has to be additionally modified if a certain component is changed. The arrows in the graphs represent dependencies. The component at the tail of an arrow is depended on by the component at the head of that arrow. Therefore, if a component is changed, the components that it points to should also be changed. [Fig:TraceGraphAvsA](#page-44-0) shows the dependencies of as-sumptions on each other. [Fig:TraceGraphAvsAll](#page-45-3) shows the dependencies of data definitions, theoretical models, general definitions, instance models, requirements, likely changes, and unlikely changes on the assumptions. [Fig:TraceGraphRefvsRef](#page-45-4) shows the dependencies of data definitions, theoretical models, general definitions, and instance models on each other. [Fig:TraceGraphAllvsR](#page-45-5) shows the dependencies of requirements and goal statements on the data definitions, theoretical models, general definitions, and instance models. [Fig:Trace-](#page-45-6)[GraphAllvsAll](#page-45-6) shows the dependencies of dependencies of assumptions, models, definitions, requirements, goals, and changes with each other.

<span id="page-44-0"></span>

Figure 2: TraceGraphAvsA

Figure 3: TraceGraphAvsAll

The Contracts of Manufacturers of the Contraction of Contraction (Contraction Contraction Contraction Contraction Contraction Contraction Contraction (Contraction Contraction Contraction Contraction Contraction Contraction

A:assumpCT A:assumpCT A:assumpCAJI LC:URL IM:LOE: LC:LCC LC:LC

<span id="page-45-5"></span><span id="page-45-4"></span>

Figure 6: TraceGraphAllvsAll

<span id="page-45-6"></span>For convenience, the following graphs can be found at the links below:

• [TraceGraphAvsA](../../../../traceygraphs/gamephysics/avsa.svg)

<span id="page-45-3"></span>DO:LinDisp DD:linDisp DD:linDisp DD:linDisp DD:angDisp DD

Distribution DD:angVel Distribution Distribution Distribution Distribution Distribution Distribution Distribution

DD:reventor DD:reventOfiners DD:momentOfiners DD:momentOfiners TM:NewtonSecurity TM:NewtonSecurity TM:NewtonSecurity TM:NewtonSecurity TM:NewtonSecurity TM:NewtonSecurity TM:NewtonSecurity TM:NewtonSecurity TM:NewtonSecuri

- [TraceGraphAvsAll](../../../../traceygraphs/gamephysics/avsall.svg)
- [TraceGraphRefvsRef](../../../../traceygraphs/gamephysics/refvsref.svg)
- [TraceGraphAllvsR](../../../../traceygraphs/gamephysics/allvsr.svg)
- [TraceGraphAllvsAll](../../../../traceygraphs/gamephysics/allvsall.svg)

## <span id="page-45-0"></span>**10 Values of Auxiliary Constants**

There are no auxiliary constants.

## <span id="page-45-1"></span>**11 References**

- [1] J. Frederick Bueche. *Introduction to Physics for Scientists, Fourth Edition*. 1986.
- <span id="page-45-2"></span>[2] Wikipedia Contributors. *Cartesian coordinate system*. [https://en.wikipedia.org/](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cartesian_coordinate_system) [wiki/Cartesian\\_coordinate\\_system](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cartesian_coordinate_system). June 2019.
- <span id="page-46-8"></span>[3] Wikipedia Contributors. *Chasles' theorem (kinematics)*. [https : / / en . wikipedia .](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chasles) [org/wiki/Chasles'\\_theorem\\_\(kinematics\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chasles). Nov. 2018.
- <span id="page-46-7"></span>[4] Wikipedia Contributors. *Damping*. [https : / / en . wikipedia . org / wiki / Damping \\_](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Damping_ratio) [ratio](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Damping_ratio). July 2019.
- <span id="page-46-5"></span>[5] The Editors of Encyclopaedia Britannica. *Line*. [https : / / www . britannica . com /](https://www.britannica.com/science/line-mathematics) [science/line-mathematics](https://www.britannica.com/science/line-mathematics). June 2019.
- <span id="page-46-1"></span>[6] Nirmitha Koothoor. "A Document Driven Approach to Certifying Scientific Computing Software". MA thesis. Hamilton, ON, Canada: McMaster University, 2013.
- [7] David L. Parnas. "Designing Software for Ease of Extension and Contraction". In: *ICSE '78: Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on Software engineering*. 1978, pp. 264–277.
- <span id="page-46-0"></span>[8] David L. Parnas and P. C. Clements. "A rational design process: How and why to fake it". In: *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 12.2 (Feb. 1986), pp. 251–257.
- <span id="page-46-6"></span>[9] Rod Pierce. *Point*. <https://www.mathsisfun.com/geometry/point.html>. May 2017.
- <span id="page-46-4"></span>[10] W. Spencer Smith and Nirmitha Koothoor. "A Document-Driven Method for Certifying Scientific Computing Software for Use in Nuclear Safety Analysis". In: *Nuclear Engineering and Technology* 48.2 (Apr. 2016), pp. 404–418.
- <span id="page-46-2"></span>[11] W. Spencer Smith and Lei Lai. "A new requirements template for scientific computing". In: *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Situational Requirements Engineering Processes - Methods, Techniques and Tools to Support Situation-Specific Requirements Engineering Processes, SREP'05*. Ed. by PJ Agerfalk, N. Kraiem, and J. Ralyte. In conjunction with 13th IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference, Paris, France, 2005, pp. 107–121.
- <span id="page-46-3"></span>[12] W. Spencer Smith, Lei Lai, and Ridha Khedri. "Requirements Analysis for Engineering Computation: A Systematic Approach for Improving Software Reliability". In: *Reliable Computing, Special Issue on Reliable Engineering Computation* 13.1 (Feb. 2007), pp. 83–107.
- [13] Greg Wilson et al. "Best Practices for Scientific Computing, 2013". In: *PLoS Biol* 12.1 (2013).